

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,989	WIK, LARS	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) CHRISTIAN JANG.

(3) _____.

(2) Robin Teskin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2010

Time: 11am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner explained that the incorporation of claim 5 into claim 4 led to conflicts with claim 6, which recited an alternative processing unit. The examiner proposed an examiner amendment to incorporate the subject matter of claim 4 (prior to the most recent amendment) into claim 6 and put claim 6 into independent form as the subject matter recited in claim 6 was previously found to be allowable. For claim 9, it was explained that the incorporation of claim 5 into claim 4 resulted in redundant language of the instant claim and proposed an examiner amendment to delete the redundant language. The applicant approved and gave permission for the proposed examiner amendments.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/C. J./
Examiner, Art Unit 3735

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)